## **NAAC**

### **Institutional Assessment and Accreditation**

(Effective from July 2017)

Accreditation - (Cycle: 1)

# HIRASUGAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NIDASOSHI, Nidasoshi, Karnataka

Track ID: KACOGN101501

**AISHE-ID: C-1409** 

# Graphical Representation based on Quantitative & Qualitative Metrics




#### NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

P.O. Box No. 1075, Nagarbhavi, Bengaluru - 560 072, INDIA


## **Graphical Representation based on Quantitative & Qualitative Metrics**


#### $Metrics(Q_nM\ \&\ Q_lM)\ Weightage\ scored\ by\ the\ institution\ in\ percentage$



 QnM & QIM Weightage scored by the institution in percentage

Fig: The criterion wise distribution of weighted scores ( $Q_nM\ \&\ Q_lM$ ) for the institution





#### Distribution of High Performance Key Indicators (3.01-4.0)




Fig: High Performance Key Indicators(3.01-4.0) for the institution

#### Distribution of Average Performance Key Indicators (2.01–3.0)




Fig: Average Performance Key Indicators(2.01-3.0) for the institution











Fig: Low Performance Key Indicators(0-2.0) for the institution















#### Graphical representation of Strengths and Weakness of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria I,II and III)




- Score

Fig: Graphical representation of Strengths(4) and Weakness(0) of the institution based on  $Q_nM$  &  $Q_lM$  (Criteria I,II and III)

#### Graphical representation of Strengths and Weakness of the institution based on Q<sub>n</sub>M & Q<sub>l</sub>M (Criteria IV,V,VI and VII)

- Score



 $Fig: Graphical\ representation\ of\ Strengths\ and\ Weakness\ of\ the\ institution\ based\ on\ Q_nM\ \&\ Q_lM\ (Criteria\ IV,V,VI\ and\ VII)$