



An Improvement In Mechanical Characteristics Through The Application Of Austempered Ductile Iron (ADI): A Review

Maheshwar. A. Hipparagi. ¹, Mohan. S. Futane. ²,
Pravin. M. Kokitkar ³. Padmayya. S. Naik ⁴.

¹ (Mechanical Engineering Department Hirasugar Institute of Technology Nidasoshi, India)

² (Mechanical Engineering Department Hirasugar Institute of Technology Nidasoshi, India)

³ (Mechanical Engineering Department Hirasugar Institute of Technology Nidasoshi, India)

⁴ (Mechanical Engineering Department Anjuman Institute of Technology and Management, Bhatkal, India)

ABSTRACT

Over the past twenty years, ADI, or austempered ductile cast iron, has been the subject of numerous investigations conducted worldwide. From the standpoint of structural applications, it has demonstrated exceptional mechanical characteristics. ADI with superior fatigue strength, fracture toughness, wear resistance, and ductility combined with high strength. Due to the special combinations of qualities these steels have developed through alloying with low-cost components, their development has become increasingly essential in the automotive industry. There has been a lot of research in this area. This paper presents a brief review.

KEYWORDS: ADI, Heat Treatment, QP Process and Tensile Properties

INTRODUCTION

Various microstructures are produced when austempering heat treatment is applied to ductile iron, contingent on heat treatment parameters like austenitizing time and temperature and austempering time and temperature. Comparing the austempered structure of bainitic ferrite, retained austenite, and spheroidal graphite to other ductile irons, it demonstrates exceptional comprehensive mechanical qualities such strength and toughness [1-2]. Applications for ADI include as varied as locomotive wheels, crankshafts, and gears. Other benefits of using ADI include reduced production costs because to its superior machinability and castability, which lead to larger tool life and fewer heat treatment processing cycles. However, fissures that weaken the product's mechanical qualities are frequently caused by the graphite nodules in the ADI. Many studies have been conducted utilizing electron microscopy, optical microscopy, and X-ray diffraction to examine the effects of heat treatment parameters on the microstructure.

In [3] examined the steel having the following chemical composition (weight%): 0.7–0.95 Manganese, 1.8–2.2 Silicon, and 0.55–0.6 Carbon; this steel is part of the AISI 9255 spring steel (EN45 spring steel). The elastic limit and fatigue strength of these heat-treated steels are very high. For this reason, these steels are excellent for making punches, chisels, leaf springs, and coiled springs. Austenitizing is the most often used heat treatment, which is followed by oil quenching and tempering. Mandal [4] Examined how the transformation temperature



affects the microstructure of these Carbide Free Bainite (CFB) steels produced by the austempering technique. Ferrite laths have a more irregular orientation and are finer at reduced temperatures. It is demonstrated that while the ferrite laths' breadth increases with increasing austempering temperature, their length nearly stays constant. As austempering temperature rises, bainitic steel's characteristics such as hardness and tensile strength diminish. Alternatively, the ultimate tensile strength and yield strength rise with decreasing austempering temperature, while the ductility decreases. Bhadeshia, [5] Conduct research on bainitic steel; it has been observed that the impact toughness of these materials is significantly decreased when carbides are permitted to precipitate in the microstructure. In [6] demonstrates how the mechanical characteristics of spheroidal cast iron are affected by varying austempering temperatures and times. The samples were austempered for 10, 20, and 30 minutes at different temperatures between 250 and 300 degrees Celsius. The findings indicate that as temperature and austempering duration increase, elongation increases and tensile strength and hardness decrease. In [7] An analysis of the microstructure and hardness of steel (9260) heat-treated using the QP technique is conducted. Here, the samples were austenitized in molten salt for 15 minutes at 900 degrees Celsius. They were then quenched in a tin-bismuth molten bath at a temperature range of 150 to 210 degrees Celsius. After 120 seconds of equilibration, the samples were partitioned at a temperature range of 250 to 500 degrees Celsius in molten salt for a duration of 10 to 3600 seconds, and finally, they were quenched to room temperature. The acquired result demonstrates that significant quantities of retained austenite can be achieved with the QP process. Bagliani.et.al [8] examined the medium carbon steel's microstructure and mechanical characteristics, such as toughness and tensile strength, following the Q P procedure. In this experiment, samples were austenitized for 600 seconds at 940 °C. They were then quenched in a molten salt bath at 260 to 325 °C, equilibrated for 100 to 600 seconds, and then quenched to room temperature. The results indicate that 250°C was the quenching temperature that produced the optimum mix of toughness and yield strength. In [9] Two distinct nanostructured bainitic steels are investigated in order to characterize their mechanical characteristics and microstructure. The samples in this case were austenitized for three minutes at 900 °C. After that, they underwent isothermal heat treatment at 200, 250, and 300 °C, where they were kept until the bainitic transformation was completed. The findings show that two high carbon steels are produced from distinct nanostructured composites, such as microstructures made of bainitic ferrite and high carbon retained austenite, which were produced via isothermal transformation at low temperatures (between 200 and 300 °C).

Rivas L M et.al [10] examined the tensile behavior of two high carbon, high silicon steel nanoscale bainite composite-like structures (Fe-0.7C-1.4Si-1.3Mn-1.0Cr-0.2Mo-0.1Ni). The samples were austenitized for 60 minutes at 900 °C in this experiment, and then they underwent an isothermal transformation at 200 °C for 24 hours (HT24) and 168 hours (HT168). The findings indicate that if the material's ductility is low and its resistance to damage and failure mechanism is not scarified, high ductility can be achieved through efficient work hardening. The above traits are not met in HT 168, and as a result of its high ductility, a high total elongation is shown. Anusha K et.al [11] Research has been done on how the structure of a steel changes with varying austempering times. It was found that at short austempering times, retained austenite and ferrite made up the microstructure; as the time increases, austenite becomes more stable; and at longer times, the retained austenite breaks down into carbide and ferrite. Ultimately, it was found that longer austempering times did not



result in further improvements in hardness and strength.

Tomita Y et.al [12] examined how the microstructure affected the mechanical characteristics of 300M of isothermally converted bainite (0.4C-1.7Si-0.8Mn-0.8Cr-1.76Ni-0.41Mo-0.08v {wt% steel}). Here, the samples underwent an hour of austenitization at 900 °C, followed by an isothermal transformation in a tin lead bath at varying temperatures and times (320 to 400 °C, 1000 to 1800 sec, respectively), and oil quenching. When compared to traditional quenched and tempered steel, the results show an improvement in the fracture toughness and impact energy of the isothermally converted steel. Navara E et.al [13] He used ausferrite to carry out his studies. The material was high strength steel (EN45 spring steel), with compositions of 0.5-0.6 C, 1.5-2.0 Si, and 0.7-1.0 Mn. Here, the samples were austenitized at 880 degrees Celsius for 60 minutes. After that, some of the samples underwent 400–500 degree Celsius oil quenching and tempering. After that, the remaining samples were austempered at 300–350 degrees Celsius in a salt bath for as long as necessary to fully develop the ausferrite structure. The results indicate that the mechanical properties of ausferrite are significantly influenced by the austempering temperature. Strength and impact toughness are two qualities of low alloy quenched and tempered steel that are superior to tempered martensite. In [14] High silicon cast steel's characteristics were investigated. Here, the samples underwent three stages of heat treatment: an initial 30-minute austenitization at 900 °C, a 30-minute salt bath austempered at a temperature between 240 and 400 °C, and an air cooling step. The results show that the ausferrite structure has good qualities. It has also been noticed that higher values of strength, toughness, and hardness may be achieved at the austempering temperature, which is between 320 and 360 °C. Putatunda S K et.al [15] investigated the fracture toughness property of a high carbon and high silicon steel (Fe-1C-2.5Si). In this experiment, the specimens were air cooled after being austenitized for 120 minutes at 927 °C. They were then treated to austempering at various temperatures (260, 288, 302, 385, and 399 °C) for another 120 minutes. The findings show that the retained austenite concentration rises with the austempering temperature, reaches its maximum value at 385 °C, and then starts to decline once more. . Sajjadi & Zebarjad [16] examined the isothermal transformation of the HCS austenite to bainite structure. In this instance, the samples were water quenched after being austenitized for 60 minutes at 1000 °C, then austempered for varying amounts of time at temperatures between 250 and 500 °C. The findings show that in HCS, the austenite phase transforms into bainite at temperatures between 250 and 475 °C.

In [17] The effects of austempering temperature on the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of medium carbon low alloy steel (0.4C-2Si-1Cr-0.6Mn-0.2Mo-0.5Cu) have been studied. Here, the specimens were air-cooled after being austenitized for 120 minutes at 927 °C. They were subsequently austempered for another 120 minutes at various temperatures, including 260,315,357,385 and 399 °C. The results show that greater values of yield strength and fracture toughness were attained after 120 minutes at an austempering temperature of 316 °C. They discovered that a mixed microstructure—a blend of austenite and bainitic ferrite—can be achieved at the austempering temperature, which is between 316 and 400 °C. In [18] Research was conducted to determine how retained austenite affected the multiphase martensitic-bainitic steel's impact toughness. After being austenitized at 900 °C, the samples underwent isothermal treatment for 0.5 to 48 hours, resulting in a microstructure composed of martensite, bainite, and preserved austenite. Specimens are cooled to room temperature following the isothermal technique. The remaining examples of this procedure were then once



more tempered for an hour at 400 °C before being cooled to room temperature. The findings indicate that specimens that underwent isothermal heat treatment plus tempering exhibited superior mechanical properties in comparison to those that underwent heat treatment alone. In [19] Research has been conducted to determine how the austempering temperature affects the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of high carbon, high silicon, and high manganese (1:3:2) cast steel. The heat treatment conditions in this instance were referred to as heat treatment conditions 1–4. After two hours of austenitization at 1010 °C, all of the samples underwent austempering. In the first heat treatment condition, the samples were austempered for six hours at 288 °C. For six hours, conditions two and three were austempered at 316 and 343 degrees Celsius, while condition four was austempered at 371 degrees Celsius. The findings show that following various austempered heat treatments, the material's mechanical qualities, such as its yield and tensile strength increase as the austempering temperature increases, and as austempering temperature increases. ductility of the specimen decreases.

S S Nayak et.al [20] This study looks at the Q & P method to assess the microstructure and hardness change in high and medium carbon steels with varying silicon, chromium, and manganese content. The findings show that the martensite lath class was observed in high and medium carbon silicon steel, irrespective of the quenching temperature. It was also discovered that the hardness of high carbon steel decreased as the partitioning temperature increased. In [21] study done on low carbon steel's microstructure development during the QP process. The findings suggest that austenite stabilization will occur in this material automatically and more quickly than in bainitic isothermal storage. Palaksha et.al [22] examined the wear behavior of AISI 9255 high silicon steel that has been austempered at different temperatures and times. In this experiment, the samples were first austenitized for 30 minutes at 900 °C, and then they were austempered for one to four hours at 300, 350, and 400 °C in a salt bath. After that, the samples were cooled to room temperature outside. Optical microscopy, SEM, and XRD have all been used to analyze the microstructure. The findings show that a certain wear rate rises with rising temperatures and falls with increasing time.

Sandvik et.al [23] proves that austempering heat treatment of Si-alloyed steel can produce steel with a bainitic microstructure free of carbides. It also demonstrates that the mechanical properties of this steel were dependent on the austenite shape, austenite volume fraction, and grain size. the temperature. In [24] the examination of two distinct high silicon steels that were austempered at two distinct holding times with regard to their erosion resistance. A completely CBF microstructure exhibits good resistance to erosive wear, according to the data. Ping et.al [25] compared the sliding wear properties of high silicon steels and austempered cast iron. The findings showed that graphite had no effect on friction and that, in contrast to austempered steel, high silicon steel had surface weakening brought on by nodules. Clayton et.al [26] An investigation on the microstructure of bainitic steel revealed that a higher CFB rate would be beneficial for rolling and sliding wear. . In [27] An investigation has been conducted into the impact of austempering temperature on the sliding wear performance of CFB steels. According to the results, the wear rate was lowest when an austempering heat treatment was used. Bhadesia et.al [28] demonstrates that the absence of carbides will improve the mechanical properties of CFB steel, while the presence of austenite will be beneficial since it increases toughness and plasticity. . In [29] Research comparing the rolling and sliding wear resistance of several high silicon austempered steels revealed that the steel with an almost entirely CFB microstructure had a very low wear rate and that, when tested, the



retained austenite in the contact surface would turn into martensite. Vuorinen et.al [30] compared CFB's wear characteristics to those of other quenched and tempered steels. This experiment looked at the wear characteristics of austempered steel that has been Si-alloyed in relation to hardened, quenched, and tempered steel. According to the data, the CFB steel had a particular wear rate that was two to three times higher than the hardened steel's.

Yang et.al [31] examined the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of high carbon, silicon-rich, aluminum steel through low-temperature austempering. Here, the specimens were austenitized before being heated isothermally for 0.5 to 4 hours at 220 to 260 °C. The outcomes show that a better combination of mechanical characteristics and a nanostructured bainitic microstructure were achieved. In [32] examined how the austempering temperature affected the ADI's wear and mechanical characteristics. The samples were first austenitized for thirty minutes at 840 °C. They were then austempered for thirty minutes at four different temperatures—300, 320, 340, and 360 °C—in a molten salt bath. The findings show that when the austempering temperature increases, hardness and strength drop but ductility and impact strength rise. O Eric et.al [33] examined how austempering affected the toughness and microstructure of nodular cast iron. The samples were quenched in ice water after being austenitized at 860 °C and then austempering for varying periods of time at 320 and 400 °C. The microstructure formed by austempering at 320 °C is found to be a combination of stable carbon-enriched austenite and acicular bainitic ferrite, according to the results. P Shanmugam et.al [34] A rotating bending fatigue test with 1.5 weight percent nickel and 0.3 weight percent molybdenum is explored and performed on austempered ductile iron. To obtain different microstructures, samples were austenitized at 900 or 1050 °C and then austempering at 280–400 °C for varied times. The findings show that when retained austenite content increases, tensile strength drops and fatigue strength increases.

In [35] It has been investigated how the mechanical characteristics of ductile cast iron are affected by the austempering time. Before being austempering for varying lengths of time—1, 2, 3, and 5 hours—the samples were austenitized at 950 °C and soaked for 60 minutes in rubber seed oil at 250 °C. The outcomes show a strongly positive correlation between the hardness and the tensile strength and impact energy. M Kaczorowski et.al [36] examined the ADI's structure-property relationship. This 500 7 grade ductile iron is subjected to different heat treatment requirements throughout the austempering process. The samples were first immersed in the solution for 60 minutes at 910 degrees Celsius. They were then isothermally quenched for varying periods of time in a silicon oil bath at temperatures of 275, 325, 300, and 350 degrees Celsius. The lowest temperature isothermal quenching yields high strength ADI when compared to identical ADI that is austempered at 350 °C. It also yields maximum tensile strength while short-term quenching at 275 °C results in low yield strength ADI. In [37] The effects of heat treatment parameters on the microstructure and impact energy as an indicator of ADI toughness have been studied. The composition of the samples was 2.5% Si, 1.09% Ni, 0.87% Cu, 0.5% Mo, 0.16% Mn, and 3.2% C. Following an hour of austenitization at 900 °C, the samples were all subjected to varying times of austempering at 250, 300, 350, and 400 °C. The samples that were austenitized at 900 °C and austempered at 350 °C for 2.5 hours had the highest impact energy (105 J), according to the data.

In[38] A comparison of the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of nodular cast iron treated with traditional austempering (TA) and two-step austempering (SA) heat treatments has been conducted. In this



test, both approaches were run for 60 minutes at an austenitic temperature of 900 °C. The second phase of the two-step austempering procedure began at 260 °C and climbed steadily over the course of 60 minutes to 280, 310, and 340 °C. The mechanical characteristics of nodular cast iron are significantly improved by the two-step austempering method, according to the results, as compared to the single-step method. In [39] To obtain an ADI with the right impact strength, research has been done on the selection of heat treatment parameters. Samples were austenitized in this experiment for one hour at 830 to 950 °C. then austempered for 16, 32, and 64 minutes at a temperature between 300 and 400 °C. The impact energy of ADI is influenced by austenitizing temperature and austempering circumstances, according to the results. . In [40] The mechanical behavior and characterisation of low manganese ADI have been studied. In this salt bath furnace, the specimens were first heated for 60 minutes at 350 °C and then austenitized for another 60 minutes at 900 °C. In the austempering salt bath furnace, the three sets of specimens were rapidly austempered for 90, 120, and 150 minutes, respectively, at uniform austempering temperatures of 300, 350, and 400 °C. According to the results, the austempering temperature of 350 °C for 150 minutes produced the maximum levels of hardness, tensile strength, and yield strength. Additionally, it was noted that hardness and strength increase with austempering duration at temperatures of 300 and 350 degrees Celsius.

TheinTun et.al [41] The effects of austenitizing temperature and austempering time on the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of ADI are investigated. The samples were austempering at 350 °C for 0.5 to 2 hours after being austenitized for 1.5 hours at 850, 900, and 950 °C. The excellent combination of high tensile strength with good toughness and ductility is demonstrated by the results. Je Young et.al [42] The influence of the microstructure and mechanical characteristics of austempering high silicon (2.3%Si) and high carbon (0.9%C) cast steel is being investigated. In this case, the samples were first austenitized for 60 minutes at 900 °C. They were then austempered for 30 to 240 minutes at 260, 320, and 380 °C. The findings show that, in comparison to ADI, high silicon, high carbon cast steel without graphite has greater tensile strength (1300 Mpa to 2200 Mpa) and elongation (25%) In [43] The effects of a one-step and two-step heat treatment method on the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of ADI have been compared. In this instance, the specimens underwent two steps of cooling: first, they were austenitized at 900 °C for 1.5 hours, and then they were cooled in a salt bath for 30 minutes at a temperature of 300 °C. After five minutes at this temperature in the salt bath, the specimens were heated in a second salt bath for 30 minutes at a temperature of 300 °C. The findings show that impact resistance falls by 3.5% and hardness increases by 4.7% for two-step processes. Additionally, it is noted that ausferite microhardness was 6.2% higher in one-step austempering than in two-step processes.

In [44] Research has been done to determine how austempering time affects a low manganese ADI's mechanical characteristics. For varied times ranging from 30 to 240 minutes, the samples were austempered in the upper 371 °C and lower 260 °C bainitic temperature ranges. The findings indicate that in the lower bainitic temperature range, the material's tensile and yield strength improve with an increase in austempering duration; in the upper bainitic temperature range, however, time has no discernible effect on mechanical parameters. Abhishek Sharma et.al [45] examined the impact of austempering factors and copper on the mechanical characteristics and microstructure of ADI. According to the results, the ADI that contains copper exhibits greater strength, hardness, and reduced elongation than the ADI that does not. Mantesh C Choukimath et.al



[46] Examine how the austempering procedure affects the ADI's tool life machinability. It was austempering for the specimens at 270, 320, and 360 °C. The findings show that the machinability index rises as the austempering temperature rises, and they also show that tool wear rises in tandem with the temperature increase.

In [47] The impact of austempering temperature and duration on the wear properties of ADI is investigated. The specimens in this experiment were austenitized at 900 °C and then austempering at different temperatures (235, 260, 285, and 310 °C) for 60 and 120 minutes. The findings show that abrasion resistance rises in tandem with an increase in austempering temperature. SasanYazdani et.al [48] Examine how the austempering temperature affects an ADI's high cycle fatigue action. Here, the samples were placed in a salt bath furnace and austenitized at 875 °C, followed by austempering at 320, 365, and 400 °C. Rotating bending tests were performed, and the findings show that specimen fatigue life increases by 10, 20, and 24% for every degree Celsius that the austempered temperature rises to (320, 365, and 400 °C, respectively). In [49] The impact of austempering time on the mechanical characteristics of ADI is investigated. In this, the specimens underwent 100 minutes of austenitization at 900 °C, followed by 300 °C austempering. The findings indicate that there is an increase in ductility and wear resistance but no additional improvement in strength and hardness when the austempering period is increased from 45 to 180 minutes.

The aforementioned research cites numerous publications from reputable journals to demonstrate how ADI's qualities have improved when compared to alternative materials.

REFERENCES

1. Je-Young, S., Kim, J.H., Kim, W.B., and Ye, B.J. (2010). "Effects of Austempering conditions on the Microstructures and Mechanical properties in Fe-0.9%C-2.3%Si-0.3%Mn Steel." *Met. Mater. Int.*, 16(3), 357-361.
2. Putatunda, S.K. (2003). "Influence of austempering temperature on microstructure and fracture toughness of a high-carbon, high-silicon and high-manganese cast steel." *Materials and Design* 24, 435-443.
3. Rajan, T.V., Sharma, C.P., Sharma, A. (2014). "Heat treatment Principles and Techniques." Second edition, PHI Learning Private limited, India.
4. Mandal, D., Ghosh, M., Pal, J., De, P. K., Chowdhury, G.S., Das, S. K., Das, G., Ghosh, S. (2009). "Effect of austempering treatment on microstructure and mechanical properties of high-Si steel." *Journal of Material Science* 44, 1069–1075.
5. Reddy, a. C. Low and high temperature micromechanical behavior of bn/3003 aluminum alloy nanocomposites.
6. Bhadeshia, H.K.D.H. (2001). "Bainite in Steels: Transformation, Microstructure and Properties." IOM Communications Ltd., London.
7. Pravinraj, ManjindarBajwa, Mahipal Singh (2014) "Effect of varying Austempering Time and Temperature on the Mechanical Properties of Spheroidal Cast Iron" Volume 3, Issue 5, May 2014.
8. Gerdemann, F.L.H., Speer, J.G., and Matlock, D.K. (2004). "Microstructure and Hardness of 9260 Steel Heat- Treated by the Quenching and Partitioning Process." *Proc. Int. Conf. Material Science and Technology*, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA Association For Iron & Steel Technology, Warrendale, Pa,



USA.

9. Bagliani, E.P., Santofimia, M.J., Zhao, L., Sietsma, J., and Anelli E. (2013). "Microstructure, tensile and toughness properties after quenching and partitioning treatments of a medium-carbon steel." *Materials Science & Engineering A* 559, 486–495.
10. El-Shennawy, M., Farahat, A. I., Masoud, M. I., & Abdel-Aziz, A. I. (2016). Effect of Boron Content on Metallurgical And Mechanical Characteristics of Low Carbon Steel. *Int. JI. Mech. Engg.(IJME)*, 5(2), 1-14.
11. Avishan, B., Yazdani, S., Caballero, F. G., Wang, T. S., and Mateo, C.G. (2015). "Characterisation of microstructure and mechanical properties in two different nanostructured bainitic steels." *Material Science and technology* 31(12), 1508-1520.
12. Rivas, L.M., Yen, H.W., Huang, B.M., Matthias, K., Caballero, F.G., Yang, J.R., and Mateo, C.G. (2015). "Tensile response of two nanoscale bainite composite-like structures." *JOM* 67(10), 2223-2235.
13. Anusha, K. (2010). "Unlubricated rolling/sliding wear behaviour of high silicon carbide-free steels." M.Sc. Thesis, Lulea University of Technology, Sweden.
14. Tomita, Y., and Okawa, T. (1993). "Effect of microstructure on mechanical properties of isothermally bainite-transformed 300M steel." *Materials Science and Engineering A* 172, 145-151.
15. Navara, E., Mudzanapabwe, N., Manjonjo D. (1995). "Ausferrite- A structure in high-strength steels." In: *Metallography'95 Proceedings of the international conference 9th symposium on metallography*, Technical University of Zimbabwe, Harare. Paper 7, 55-64.
16. Li, Y., and Chen, X. (2001). "Microstructure and mechanical properties of austempered high silicon cast steel." *Materials Science and Engineering A* 308, 277–282.
17. Putatunda, S.K. (2001). "Fracture toughness of a High Carbon and High Silicon steel." *Materials Science and Engineering A* 297, 31-43.
18. Sajjadi S.A., and Zebarjad S.M. (2007). "Isothermal transformation of austenite to bainite in high carbon steels." *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 189, 107-113.
19. Putatunda, S.K., Arjun V., Singar., Ronald, T., and Gavin, L. (2009). "Development of a high strength high toughness ausferritic steel." *Materials Science and Engineering A* 513-514, 329-339.
20. Jose, A.D.C.J, Vilela, J.J., Gonzalez, B.M., and Santos, D.B. (2014). "Effect of Retained Austenite on impact toughness of Multi-Phase Bainitic-Martensitic Steel." *Advanced Materials Research* 922, 298-303.
21. Susil K. Putatunda *Fracture toughness of a high carbon and high silicon steel Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA Received 21 March 2000; received in revised form 23 June 2000
22. Nayak, S.S., Anumolua, R., Misra, R.D.K., Kimc, K.H., and Leec, D.L. (2008). "Microstructure-hardness relationship in quenched and partitioned medium-carbon and high-carbon steels containing silicon." *Materials Science and Engineering A* 498, 442–456.
23. Santofimia, M.J., Zhao, L., Petrov, R., Kwakernaak, C., Sloof, W.G., and Sietsma, J. (2011). "Microstructural development during the quenching and partitioning process in a newly designed low-carbon steel." *Acta Materialia* 59, 6059–6068
24. Palaksha P A' Syamkrishna P, Ravishankar K S Effect of Austempering Heat Treatment Parameters on the



- Microstructure and Dry Sliding Wear Behaviour of AISI 9255 High Silicon Steel Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal, Mangalore 575025, India AMMMT 2016
25. B. P. J. Sandvik & H. P. Nevalainen Structure-property relationships in commercial low-alloy bainitic-austenitic steel with high strength, ductility, and toughness Pages 213-220 | Published online: 19 Jul 2013
 26. Shah, S.M., Bahadur, S., Verhoeven, J.D. (1986). "Erosion behavior of high silicon bainitic structures: II: High silicon steels." *Wear* 113 (2), 279–290.
 27. Ping, L., Bahadur, S., Verhoeven, J.D. (1990). "Friction and wear behavior of high silicon bainitic structures in austempered cast iron and steel." *Wear* 138 (1-2), 269-284.
 28. Clayton, P., Jin, N. (1996). "Unlubricated sliding and rolling/sliding wear behavior of continuously cooled, low/medium carbon bainitic steels." *Wear* 200, 74–82.
 29. Shipway, H., Wood, S.J., Dent, A.H. (1997). "The hardness and sliding wear behavior of bainitic steel." *Wear* 203–204, 196–205.
 30. Krishna, V. M. (2016). Heat Transfer Enhancement by using ZnO Water Nanofluid in a Concentric Tube Heat Exchanger under Forced Convection Conditions. *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology*, 7(4), 177-184.
 31. Bhadeshia, H.K.D.H. (2001). "Bainite in Steels: Transformation, Microstructure and Properties." IOM Communications Ltd., London.
 32. Chang, L.C. (2005). "The rolling/sliding wear performance of high silicon carbide-free bainitic steels." *Wear* 258, 730–743.
 33. Vourinen, E. (2012). "Structure and Properties of Advanced Fine Grained Steels Produced Using Novel Thermal Treatments", Doctoral Dissertation, Lulea University of Technology, Sweden, ISSN 1402-1544.
 34. Yang, J., Wang, T.S., Zhang, B., Zhang, F.C. (2012), "Sliding wear resistance and worn surface microstructure of nanostructured bainitic steel." doi:10.1016/j.wear.2012.02.008.
 35. Prabhukumar Sellamuthu, D. G Harris Samuel, D. Dinakaran, V. P. Premkumar, Zushu Li, Sridhar Seetharaman (2018), "Austempered Ductile Iron (ADI): Influence of Austempering Temperature on Microstructure, Mechanical and Wear Properties and Energy Consumption"
 36. O Eric, L Sidjanin, Z Miskovic, S Zec, M.T Jovanovic "Microstructure and toughness of CuNiMo austempered ductile iron" volume 58, Issues 22-23, September 2014, pages 2707-2711.
 37. P. Shanmugan, P Prasad Rao, K. Rajendra Udupa, N. Venkataraman "Effect of Microstructure on the fatigue strength of an austempered ductile iron" January 1994, Volume 29, Issue 18, pp 4933-4940.
 38. Akor, T Tuleun, L, T "Effect of Austempering time on the Mechanical Properties of Ductile Iron, Austempered in Rubber Seed Oil" e-ISSN :2278-067X, p-ISSN: 2278-800X, www.ijerd.com Volume 10, Issue 8(August 2014), PP 31-34
 39. M. Kaczorowski, A. Krzynaska "Mechanical Properties and Structure of Austempered Ductile Iron – ADI .ISSN(1897-3310) Volume 7 Issue 1/2007 161-166. Narbutta 85,02-524 Warszawa, POLAND.
 40. Amir Abedi, Pirooz Marashi, K. Sohrabi, M. Marvastian (2011) "The Effect Of Heat Treatment Parameters on Microstructure and Toughness of Austempered Ductile Iron (ADI) " 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR



- .264 -265.408.
41. Andoko and Poppy Puspitasari “Effect of Temperature and Time of Two Step Austempering Method on Mechanical Properties for Nodular Cast Iron .ISSN 1819-6608 Volume 11,No 2 January 2016 ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences.
 42. DorotaWilk-Kotodziejczyk, Krzysztof Regulski, Tomasz, GrzegorzGumienny,KrzysztofJaskowiec,StanistawaKluska-Nawarecka “ The Selection Heat Treatment parameters to Obtain Austempered Ductile Iron with the Required Impact Strength . November 2018, Volume 28, Issue 11, pp 5865-5878 Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance.
 43. A. A. Abioye, P. O .Atanda, O . P .Abioye, S. A. Afolalu and J. O. Dirisu “Microstructural Characterization and some Mechanical Behavior of Low Manganese Austempered Ferritic Ductile Iron. ISSN 0973-4562, Volume 12, November 23(2017), pp 13435-13441.
 44. Thein TUN and Kay Thi LWIN “Optimizing the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Austempered Ductile Iron for Automobile Differential Gear, Journal of Metals, Materials and Minerals, Volume 18,No 2, pp 199-205,2008.
 45. Je-Young, S., Kim, J.H., Kim, W.B., and Ye, B.J. (2010). “Effects of Austempering conditions on the Microstructures and Mechanical properties in Fe-0.9%C-2.3%Si-0.3%Mn Steel.” Met. Mater. Int., 16(3), 357-361.
 46. Armindo Werlang Filo, Bruno Vaz de Souza and Carlos Alexandre dos Santos “Effect of Heat Treatments on Austempered Ductile Iron” Volume 30, 2015-Issue 11 pages 1317-1323. Materials and Manufacturing Processes.
 47. Susil K. Putatunda, Pavan K. Gadicherla (2000) “Effect of Austempering Time on Mechanical Properties of a Low Manganese Austempered Ductile Iron” Volume 9, Issue 2, pp 193-203. Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance.
 48. Abhishek Sharma, K K Singh, G K Gupta (2016) “Study on the Effect of Austempering Variables and Copper Addition on Mechanical Properties of Austempered Ductile Iron”
 49. Mantesh C Choukimath, Dr. M K Muralidhara, P B Nagaraj (2011) “Studies On Machinability of Ductile Iron Subjected to Heat Treatment Process(Austempering Process) and Tool life Involved during Machining”
 50. Iurev, V.K., Avdeeva, M.V., Filatov, V.N. “The features of the process approach in the implementation of the project «new model of medical organization which provides primary health care”(2018) International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 10 (1), pp. 291-300.
 51. Sasan Yazdani, Amir Sadighzadeh Benam, Behzad Avishan. “Effect of Austempering Temperature on High Cycle Fatigue Behavior of an Austempered Ductile Iron (ADI)” Key Engineering Materials, Volume 457, pp 493-498, 2011.
 52. Murat Baydog, Huseyin Cimenog “ The Effect of Austempering Time on Mechanical Properties of a Ductile Iron ” Scandinavian Journal of Metallurgy, 13 January 2002.